

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/665,797 09/18/2003		Liaoteng Wang	960296.99314	6746		
27114	7590	05/25/2006		EXAMINER		
QUARLES			KIM, YOUNG J			
		AVENUE, SUITE 20 53202-4497	40	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1637		
				DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			10/665,797	WANG ET AL	WANG ET AL.					
			Examiner	Art Unit						
			Young J. Kim	1637						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ars on the cover she	et with the correspondence	e address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn of period for reply is specified above, the maximum st are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS COMM  (a). In no event, however, m  apply and will expire SIX (6) ause the application to become	UNICATION.  hay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	this communication.					
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .								
· —	,		ction is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition	for allowand	e except for formal	matters, prosecution as to	o the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers									
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a) <u></u> accep	oted or b)☐ objecte	d to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any obje			-						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).										
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	miner. Note the atta	ched Office Action or forr	n PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	-								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>									
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	=	een received in this Natio	onal Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
	see the attached detailed Office action	in tor a list of	The certified copies	not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)									
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			iew Summary (PTO-413)						
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F			r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	P10/SB/08)	6)  Other		(, 10-102)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9, 11, and 12-15, drawn to an isolated regulatory poly(A) polymerase (rPAP), classified in class 435, subclass 183. If elected, the Group is subject to further species requirement.
- II. Claims 2, 4, 8, and 10, drawn to a polynucleotide encoding rPAP, classified in class536, subclass 23.1. If elected, the Group is subject to further species requirement.
- III. Claims 16-28, drawn to a method of identifying molecules that either increase or decrease the activity of an rPAP, classified in class 436, subclass 500.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, an enzyme, particularly rPAP of Group I, is structurally and functionally different from a polynucleotide of Group II, the search of which would not be coextensive.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the rPAP of Group I could be used in a materially different process, such as that of generating mutant polynucleotides.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Additional Species Requirement

## Group I:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Group I recites different species catalytic subunit comprised rPAP as discussed below:

- I) catalytic subunit is GLD-2 or its mutant form (claims 3, 5, 6, 13); and
- II) catalytic subunit is hRPAP1 or its mutant form (claims 7 and 14)

The species are independent or distinct because the catalytic subunits required to be comprised by rPAP are structurally different.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 9, 11, 12, and 15 are generic.

In addition, Applicants are required to elect a further species of rPAP, as the claims are drawn to two distinct species of rPAP:

- i) hrPAP (also known as Hs-1; claims 7 and 14); and
- ii) mrPAP (also known as Mm-1; claims 11 and 15).

The fact that hrPAP and mrPAP are known as Hs-1 and Mm-1, respectively, is discussed in Applicants' specification (page 13, section [0032]).

Currently, claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 12, and 13 are generic.

#### Group II:

Application/Control Number: 10/665,797

Page 4

Art Unit: 1637

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Group II recites different species catalytic subunit comprised rPAP as discussed below:

- I) catalytic subunit is GLD-2 or its mutant form (claim 4); and
- II) catalytic subunit is hRPAP1 or its mutant form (claim 8)

The species are independent or distinct because the polynucleotide must encode structurally different catalytic subunits which are required to be comprised by rPAP.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 2 and 10 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement due to the complex nature of the requirement (MPEP § 812.01).

## Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Young J. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-0785. The Examiner is on flex-time schedule and can best be reached from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. The Examiner can also

Art Unit: 1637

be reached via e-mail to Young.Kim@uspto.gov. However, the office cannot guarantee security through the e-mail system nor should official papers be transmitted through this route.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Dr. Gary Benzion, can be reached at (571) 272-0782.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1637 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 CFR 1.6(d)). NOTE: If applicant does submit a paper by FAX, the original copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED, so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office. All official documents must be sent to the Official Tech Center Fax number: (571) 273-8300. For Unofficial documents, faxes can be sent directly to the Examiner at (571) 273-0785. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Young J. Kim Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1637 5/22/2006

YOUNG J. KIM PATENT EXAMINER